## Kim Hicks

# **Education Minnesota candidate questionnaire**

### **Education funding**

1. Education Minnesota believes the solution to closing the state's racial opportunity gaps must include fully and equitably funding public education, from preschool to postsecondary institutions. There's widespread agreement the full-funding agenda will require several billion dollars in new education investments. Please indicate your support or opposition to the following components of our full-funding agenda. Inflation: Reverse Minnesota's perpetual underfunding of education by significantly increasing the perpupil funding formula and tying it to inflation. ⊠ Yes  $\square$  No SPED cross subsidy: The state must also fully fund its portion of special education costs instead of relying on school districts to pay for them. ⊠ Yes  $\square$  No EL cross subsidy: Fully fund the costs districts pay to provide quality English learner programs and instruction. ⊠ Yes  $\square$  No Lower class sizes: Lower class sizes so teachers can give students more of the individual attention they need and deserve. ⊠ Yes  $\square$  No Full-service community schools: Expand access to full-service community schools across the state. ⊠ Yes  $\square$  No Universal pre-K: Provide universal pre-K taught by licensed teachers, so all children are ready for kindergarten. ⊠ Yes  $\square$  No



Teachers of color: Fund programs to increase the number of teachers of color significantly so teachers providing instruction better reflect the students in our classrooms.
⊠ Yes □ No
Student support: Provide additional resources for school districts to hire more student support staff, including counselors, social workers, psychologists, nurses and other job classifications.
⊠ Yes □ No
TDE/Q Comp: Fully fund the 2011 Teacher Development and Evaluation law, an unfunded state mandate, to ensure teacher quality and lift the cap on the Q Comp program.
⊠ Yes □ No
Professional development: Provide professional development to all staff around cultural competency and trauma-responsive classrooms with aims of closing the discipline disparity gap.
⊠ Yes □ No
ESP living wage: Ensure all education support professionals earn a living wage of at least \$25 an hour.
⊠ Yes □ No
Teacher compensation and benefits: Increase teacher compensation to provide competitive salaries and benefits to recruit and retain teachers.
⊠ Yes □ No
Health care for all school employees: Make health insurance more affordable, fair and predictable for educators and school employees so they stay in the profession.
⊠ Yes □ No
Education debt relief: Provide debt relief to retain teachers so they can afford to stay in the classroom.
⊠ Yes □ No
Higher education: Renew Minnesota's commitment to invest in the state's future by fully funding public higher education in Minnesota.
⊠ Yes □ No
Education Support Professionals
2. Do you support ESPs being able to access unemployment insurance in the summers, when they are not guaranteed work?
⊠ Yes □ No

3. Will you support dedicated, paid training each year for education support professionals to get the training they need that is specific to their work and students' needs?
⊠ Yes □ No
Taxes/revenue
4. Would you commit to raising revenue to ensure the wealthiest corporations and richest households pay what they truly owe in taxes so every student, no matter what they look like or where they live, can attend a fully funded public school that prepares them to pursue their dreams?  ⊠ Yes □ No
5. Education Minnesota believes in restoring fairness to the state tax code by raising revenue from the very wealthiest corporations and richest households and reducing the reliance on local levies to fund schools. Considering your own experience and expertise, are there other progressive, equitable ways to raise state revenue that you would recommend the union consider supporting?  I believe the tax code is a good start, I also believe that we need to adjust tax brackets to reflect current incomes of working Minnesotans. We need to make education spending a larger portion of out state budget to remove local inequities. We need to throughly examine our current tax code to
identify any state tax loop holes within our control and address them.
identify any state tax loop holes within our control and address them.
<ul> <li>identify any state tax loop holes within our control and address them.</li> <li>Higher education</li> <li>6. Do you support increasing the state's direct investment in public higher education to significantly</li> </ul>
Higher education  6. Do you support increasing the state's direct investment in public higher education to significantly reduce institutions' reliance on tuition?
<ul> <li>identify any state tax loop holes within our control and address them.</li> <li>Higher education</li> <li>6. Do you support increasing the state's direct investment in public higher education to significantly reduce institutions' reliance on tuition?</li> <li>☑ Yes □ No</li> <li>7. Will you support investments in capital bonding projects that preserve buildings, remodel classrooms</li> </ul>
identify any state tax loop holes within our control and address them.  Higher education  6. Do you support increasing the state's direct investment in public higher education to significantly reduce institutions' reliance on tuition?  ☑ Yes ☐ No  7. Will you support investments in capital bonding projects that preserve buildings, remodel classrooms and keep our technology assets current?
identify any state tax loop holes within our control and address them.  Higher education  6. Do you support increasing the state's direct investment in public higher education to significantly reduce institutions' reliance on tuition?  ☑ Yes □ No  7. Will you support investments in capital bonding projects that preserve buildings, remodel classrooms and keep our technology assets current?  ☑ Yes □ No
Higher education  6. Do you support increasing the state's direct investment in public higher education to significantly reduce institutions' reliance on tuition?    Yes

## **High-quality professional educators**

10. What will you do to help recruit and retain high-quality educators in our classrooms?

We need to treat educators like the qualified professionals and compensate them accordingly while also creating safe work environments. Educators are essential to our society and need to be treated

as sucn.
11. Do you support changes to current law to include our fully-licensed Early Childhood Family Education (ECFE) and Adult Basic Education (ABE) teachers in the continuing contract law?
⊠ Yes □ No
Teacher licensure
12. Do you support changes to state law to allow Tier 1 teachers to join the teacher bargaining unit?
⊠ Yes □ No
13. Do you support deleting the option in Tier 3 that allows a person to receive a full professional license without completing a teacher preparation program?
⊠ Yes □ No
Standardized testing

14. What individual or system-level decisions in education do you believe are appropriate to make based on standardized test scores?

Standardized tests scores that do not provide information for a educator to support their students are a irrelevant data point and are not appropriate.

# **Honesty in Education**

15. What can you do to protect teachers in the classroom and ensure that they have the freedom to teach?

Teachers are professionals and should be treated as such. District unions must be strong throughout the state to ensure that teachers are not targeted by radical nonsense.

16. Do you agree that Minnesotan students deserve an honest and accurate education about race that enables them to learn from the mistakes of our past so they can create a better future for all Minnesotans? Why or why not?

Yes, history is facts and should be taught. Pretending that the US and MN histories are not racist does not erase the systematic issues but rather destines us to continue them. Additionally, MN demographics are changing and we must teach our children about our communities. As a mother in a multiracial family I am passionate about ensuring our schools teach history that reflects all the cultures in my family and other families.

### **Time to Teach**

17. The planning and differentiating that teachers do daily needs more time. Most after school "prep" is filled with meetings. The current statute falls short of the time needed for preparing lessons. Will you support adjusting this statute to increase prep time?
⊠ Yes □ No
18. Will you support legislation that protects an educator's prep time so it can't be used for meetings or having to sub for colleagues?
⊠ Yes □ No
19. Do you support paid, dedicated time outside of student contact time for special education teachers to complete their paperwork and required meetings?
⊠ Yes □ No
Union Rights
20. Do you support collective bargaining rights?
⊠ Yes □ No
21. Will you oppose any legislation or constitutional amendments that would weaken collective bargaining rights, the right to organize, the right to strike, automatic payment of union dues, union access and activities at worksites or other anti-union policies backed by anti-worker groups?
⊠ Yes □ No
22. Do you support teacher tenure and due process rights so the experts who work the most with students have the support to stand up for them?
⊠ Yes □ No

As a union member I support unions completely. Additionally, teacher unions have been asked to shoulder the burden of "cuts" and "shortfalls" leading to their wages, benefits, and working conditions no longer aligning with the important work they do. The strikes showed districts throughout the state the strength in our numbers.

# **Working families**

24. Do you support the current language that allows educator locals to unilaterally seek a bid and join the Public Employee Insurance Program (PEIP) as the insurance provider for local bargaining units?
⊠ Yes □ No
25. Will you support continuing defined-benefits retirement systems for public employees?
⊠ Yes □ No
26. Will you support providing the necessary state funding to ensure financial sustainability of public pension funds?
⊠ Yes □ No
27. Will you support exploring new systems for providing educators with a secure retirement, including exploring early retirement options that might help recruit and retain educators?
⊠ Yes □ No
28. What legislation could you introduce or support that would reduce the cost of health insurance to school districts? Where do you stand on health care for all?
I believe in single payer but I am open to exploring any other solutions that create opportunities for affordable healthcare including removing limits on MNCare to allow for larger buy in.
Privatization
Privatization
Privatization  29. Do you oppose state tax credits for private K-12 education tuition and expenses?
Privatization  29. Do you oppose state tax credits for private K-12 education tuition and expenses?  ☑ Yes ☐ No  30. Will you support policy changes that will ensure a stronger accountability system with necessary
Privatization  29. Do you oppose state tax credits for private K-12 education tuition and expenses?  ☑ Yes ☐ No  30. Will you support policy changes that will ensure a stronger accountability system with necessary oversight and reporting to ensure quality management and instructional practices in charter schools?

32. Minnesota students, from different places and of different races, deserve well-resourced, welcoming schools. These needs are urgent and can't wait decades for lawyers and courts to force it to happen.
Do you oppose efforts that would delete constitutional mandates for using taxation to fund public education funding and for providing equal access to public schools to all students?
⊠ Yes □ No
Do you also oppose these same efforts that would enshrine standardized testing – with all its racial, cultural and economic biases – into the Minnesota Constitution?
⊠ Yes □ No

## Your role as a legislator

33. Have you visited a public school in your district in the past year? If so, which one? What were your observations from your visit? How will that experience inform your work as a legislator? If not, when did you last visit a school? Would you like us to help arrange a visit?

Yes, I had four children in public school this school year. I have been to Lincoln at Mann in Rochester Public Schools and Byron High School for dozens of events, meetings, and family engagement opportunities. My children attend amazing schools with teachers and support staff who are high quality professionals. My direct day to day interaction with public schools will be a anchoring force when I am in the legislature.

34. What have you done to become informed on issues relating to public education? What organizations and individuals do you go to for your information?

I am active in my local school district. I attend school board meetings regularly and talk to teacher and paraprofessional friends. I am also active in the disability advocacy community and speak with them as well. I also run/participate in local parent support groups and hear from families with children with similar needs.

35. How do you envision working with Education Minnesota if you are elected/reelected? If you disagree with us on an issue, how will you communicate that?

I hope to lean into Education MN to help me understand the multifaceted aspects of issues. If we disagree I will be open and honest about why. I will also be willing to meet with my local district union leaders to ensure that my reasons are clear to the teachers in my district.

36. If elected/reelected, how will you balance competing priorities that might exist between Education Minnesota and other education groups?

I would ensure that I am listening to all views but at the end of the day the view that matters most is that of our students and families I will use them as my guiding star when prioritizing competing demands.

Click or tap here to enter text.

