

## Aric Putnam

# Education Minnesota candidate questionnaire

## Education funding

---

1. Education Minnesota believes the solution to closing the state's racial opportunity gaps must include fully and equitably funding public education, from preschool to postsecondary institutions. There's widespread agreement the full-funding agenda will require several billion dollars in new education investments. Please indicate your support or opposition to the following components of our full-funding agenda.

*Inflation: Reverse Minnesota's perpetual underfunding of education by significantly increasing the per-pupil funding formula and tying it to inflation.*

Yes    No

*SPED cross subsidy: The state must also fully fund its portion of special education costs instead of relying on school districts to pay for them.*

Yes    No

*EL cross subsidy: Fully fund the costs districts pay to provide quality English learner programs and instruction.*

Yes    No

*Lower class sizes: Lower class sizes so teachers can give students more of the individual attention they need and deserve.*

Yes    No

*Full-service community schools: Expand access to full-service community schools across the state.*

Yes    No

*Universal pre-K: Provide universal pre-K taught by licensed teachers, so all children are ready for kindergarten.*

Yes    No



*Teachers of color: Fund programs to increase the number of teachers of color significantly so teachers providing instruction better reflect the students in our classrooms.*

Yes  No

*Student support: Provide additional resources for school districts to hire more student support staff, including counselors, social workers, psychologists, nurses and other job classifications.*

Yes  No

*TDE/Q Comp: Fully fund the 2011 Teacher Development and Evaluation law, an unfunded state mandate, to ensure teacher quality and lift the cap on the Q Comp program.*

Yes  No

*Professional development: Provide professional development to all staff around cultural competency and trauma-responsive classrooms with aims of closing the discipline disparity gap.*

Yes  No

*ESP living wage: Ensure all education support professionals earn a living wage of at least \$25 an hour.*

Yes  No

*Teacher compensation and benefits: Increase teacher compensation to provide competitive salaries and benefits to recruit and retain teachers.*

Yes  No

*Health care for all school employees: Make health insurance more affordable, fair and predictable for educators and school employees so they stay in the profession.*

Yes  No

*Education debt relief: Provide debt relief to retain teachers so they can afford to stay in the classroom.*

Yes  No

*Higher education: Renew Minnesota's commitment to invest in the state's future by fully funding public higher education in Minnesota.*

Yes  No

## **Education Support Professionals**

---

2. Do you support ESPs being able to access unemployment insurance in the summers, when they are not guaranteed work?

Yes  No

3. Will you support dedicated, paid training each year for education support professionals to get the training they need that is specific to their work and students' needs?

Yes    No

## Taxes/revenue

---

4. Would you commit to raising revenue to ensure the wealthiest corporations and richest households pay what they truly owe in taxes so every student, no matter what they look like or where they live, can attend a fully funded public school that prepares them to pursue their dreams?

Yes    No

5. Education Minnesota believes in restoring fairness to the state tax code by raising revenue from the very wealthiest corporations and richest households and reducing the reliance on local levies to fund schools. Considering your own experience and expertise, are there other progressive, equitable ways to raise state revenue that you would recommend the union consider supporting?

**Part of the problem is that the revenue we do have doesn't go where it should, like to our public schools. The responsibility to fund our schools is the state's alone. We cannot expect our schools to succeed if they are perpetually dependent on referenda and levies. As a small initial step, I feel strongly that we must index the per pupil formula to inflation, creating an automatic increase in revenue for schools. I would also support other revenue generating policies if they targeted big corporations and the very wealthy.**

## Higher education

---

6. Do you support increasing the state's direct investment in public higher education to significantly reduce institutions' reliance on tuition?

Yes    No

7. Will you support investments in capital bonding projects that preserve buildings, remodel classrooms and keep our technology assets current?

Yes    No

8. What would you do, as a state legislator, to help with education debt?

**The best answer is to lower tuition. But in the absence of political will to do so, the least we can do is create more targeted tuition waivers and grants for specific occupations. Also, we can work on programs that help with living expenses while students are in college, like the Hunger Free Campus Act that I authored and passed. Also, we can adjust the state grant, increase awards and change the family contribution formula.**

---

9. Some college campuses have no counselors, while others have a ratio of 1:11,000 students. Will you support each Minnesota State campus having at least one counselor so students have access to mental health and academic support services?

Yes    No

## High-quality professional educators

---

10. What will you do to help recruit and retain high-quality educators in our classrooms?

**This past session I worked closely with 742 and SCSU on a grow your own program that would mentor high school students and inspire them to enter the teaching profession and a para to professional program that would help those already in the school district expand their professional options. I was able to help secure grant funding for these programs, but much more can and should be done.**

11. Do you support changes to current law to include our fully-licensed Early Childhood Family Education (ECFE) and Adult Basic Education (ABE) teachers in the continuing contract law?

Yes    No

## Teacher licensure

---

12. Do you support changes to state law to allow Tier 1 teachers to join the teacher bargaining unit?

Yes    No

13. Do you support deleting the option in Tier 3 that allows a person to receive a full professional license without completing a teacher preparation program?

Yes    No

## Standardized testing

---

14. What individual or system-level decisions in education do you believe are appropriate to make based on standardized test scores?

**Standardized tests should only be used to demonstrate trends, not to evaluate children or instructors.**

## Honesty in Education

---

15. What can you do to protect teachers in the classroom and ensure that they have the freedom to teach?

**We need vocally to support educators and fight back against legislation and public discourse that views educators through the lens of conspiracy theory. This session a number of bills were proposed that undermined trust in teaching professionals and suggested parental surveillance of teachers. I opposed those policies and will continue to do so.**

16. Do you agree that Minnesotan students deserve an honest and accurate education about race that enables them to learn from the mistakes of our past so they can create a better future for all Minnesotans? Why or why not?

**Absolutely. Our cultural complexity is an undeniable element of our real history and key to a viable future. The lie that an honest take on our history is divisive comes from the deepest cynicism and is incompatible with America. Schools teach the truth, not what is convenient. And young people need the truth given the world they will enter as adults.**

## Time to Teach

---

17. The planning and differentiating that teachers do daily needs more time. Most after school “prep” is filled with meetings. The current statute falls short of the time needed for preparing lessons. Will you support adjusting this statute to increase prep time?

Yes    No

18. Will you support legislation that protects an educator’s prep time so it can’t be used for meetings or having to sub for colleagues?

Yes    No

19. Do you support paid, dedicated time outside of student contact time for special education teachers to complete their paperwork and required meetings?

Yes    No

## Union Rights

---

20. Do you support collective bargaining rights?

Yes    No

21. Will you oppose any legislation or constitutional amendments that would weaken collective bargaining rights, the right to organize, the right to strike, automatic payment of union dues, union access and activities at worksites or other anti-union policies backed by anti-worker groups?

Yes    No

22. Do you support teacher tenure and due process rights so the experts who work the most with students have the support to stand up for them?

Yes    No

23. How will you support unions as a state legislator?

**The best way for a legislator to support labor is to be in constant contact with workers and their representatives. I have been and always will be transparent and an active communicator.**

## Working families

---

24. Do you support the current language that allows educator locals to unilaterally seek a bid and join the Public Employee Insurance Program (PEIP) as the insurance provider for local bargaining units?

Yes  No

25. Will you support continuing defined-benefits retirement systems for public employees?

Yes  No

26. Will you support providing the necessary state funding to ensure financial sustainability of public pension funds?

Yes  No

27. Will you support exploring new systems for providing educators with a secure retirement, including exploring early retirement options that might help recruit and retain educators?

Yes  No

28. What legislation could you introduce or support that would reduce the cost of health insurance to school districts? Where do you stand on health care for all?

**Everyone benefits from universal healthcare. I support universal healthcare. The devil is often in the details, so a specific plan has its own strengths and weaknesses, but healthcare is a moral right and a practical need. As a corollary, I'd like to see legislation that would increase price and billing transparency in our healthcare system. I think this would reduce cost significantly**

## Privatization

---

29. Do you oppose state tax credits for private K-12 education tuition and expenses?

Yes  No

30. Will you support policy changes that will ensure a stronger accountability system with necessary oversight and reporting to ensure quality management and instructional practices in charter schools?

Yes  No

31. Will you support a moratorium on new charter schools until such changes are made?

Yes  No

32. Minnesota students, from different places and of different races, deserve well-resourced, welcoming schools. These needs are urgent and can't wait decades for lawyers and courts to force it to happen.

*Do you oppose efforts that would delete constitutional mandates for using taxation to fund public education funding and for providing equal access to public schools to all students?*

Yes  No

*Do you also oppose these same efforts that would enshrine standardized testing – with all its racial, cultural and economic biases – into the Minnesota Constitution?*

Yes  No

## Your role as a legislator

---

33. Have you visited a public school in your district in the past year? If so, which one? What were your observations from your visit? How will that experience inform your work as a legislator? If not, when did you last visit a school? Would you like us to help arrange a visit?

**Yes. Tech high school. I am very active in our local public schools and have done professional development workshops on equity in school districts throughout Minnesota. Every time I've been in one of our schools, I'm impressed by the dedication of school staff and the optimism of so many of our students.**

34. What have you done to become informed on issues relating to public education? What organizations and individuals do you go to for your information?

**Many of my friends are teachers. I visit with them. My spouse is the incoming Superintendent of 742 schools. Although we keep our professional lives distinct, she and I talk about issues facing our schools daily.**

35. How do you envision working with Education Minnesota if you are elected/re-elected? If you disagree with us on an issue, how will you communicate that?

**I am a very proactive communicator. I have texted and called educators from the floor of the Senate to get their perspective on legislation. I will continue to do so.**

36. If elected/re-elected, how will you balance competing priorities that might exist between Education Minnesota and other education groups?

**I am eager to work with anyone who advocates for children and the professionals who work on their behalf. That being said I understand that administrators, school boards, teachers and unlicensed staff are not always on the same page. As a legislator, I have a responsibility to talk to everyone, to research issues, and to pursue compromise that benefits all involved. However, I will always prioritize those who are most directly involved in the growth of our children. Kids are the point, after all.**

